

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**PETITION TO REVIVE AN  
UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED PATENT  
APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)**

Docket Number:  
**2345/219**

Application Number  
**10/534,768**

Filing Date  
**May 9, 2006**

Examiner  
**Sayed T. ZEWARI**

Art Unit  
**2617**

Title

**Method and Device for Providing a Connecting Path to a Mobile Radio Network and  
Telecommunications Terminal Suitable Therefor**

**Mail Stop Petition**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web submission with attention to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on **Monday, March 23, 2009**.

Signature: /Linda Lecomte/  
Linda Shudy Lecomte (Reg. No. 47,084)

**PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED PATENT  
APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)**

Sir:

Applicants hereby petition to revive the above-identified patent application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). It is the understanding of the undersigned that this application became abandoned for assumed failure to respond to an Office Action having a mail date September 17, 2007. A Notice of Abandonment having mail date of March 17, 2008 was issued.

In fact, Applicants did submit a timely filed response to the Office Action on March 17, 2008 with payment of a three-month extension and authorization to pay any additional-needed extensions or fees. A copy is available on PAIR in the USPTO system. However, Applicants note that the receipt generated by the USPTO system indicates that the response (with a certificate of transmission of March 17, 2008) was filed on March 18, 2008 at 00:03:53. Since receiving the Notice of Abandonment, Applicants have received additional correspondence from the USPTO indicating that the application is alive, including a final rejection to which Applicants have timely responded.

Given, inter alia, Applicants' expectation that the application is alive and given Applicants' responses since filed in the USPTO, there was no delay in

filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this petition; and, if a delay is determined, then Applicants respectfully submit that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this petition was unintentional.

A favorable decision on this Petition is respectfully requested.

No fee is believed due since the need for this Petition appears to be nonexistent. However, Applicants want to be sure that the application is alive. Accordingly, please charge the petition fee amount under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(m), if required, for filing this Petition to Revive under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees and/or to credit any overpayment in connection with these papers transmitted herewith, to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,  
KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: March 23, 2009

By: /Linda Lecomte/  
Linda Shudy Lecomte  
(Reg. No. 47,084)

One Broadway  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 425-7200 (Telephone)  
(212) 425-5288 (Facsimile)  
**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**